



IFW

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

**RAVI VENKATARAMAN, *et al.***

Serial No.: 10/601,176

Filed: June 20, 2003

Art Unit: 3611

Examiner: Cassandra Hope Davis

Atty. Docket No.: 02-562 US

ILLUMINATED SIGN

Commissioner for Patents

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed September 10, 2004, Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, Group I comprising Figs. 1-6 and Claims 1-13 and 15-26 readable thereon. The Examiner required restriction between Group I comprising Figs. 1-6, Group II comprising Figs. 7-10, and Group III comprising Fig. 11.

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being

**MAILED**

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service on, October 12, 2004 with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop Non-Fee  
Amendment, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA  
22313-1450.

**FACSIMILE**

☐ transmitted by facsimile on [date] to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to telefax number 703-\_\_\_\_\_.

Susan I. Coffman

(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

Applicants kindly request examination of the elected embodiment and reserve the right to file during the pendency of the present application one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected and/or canceled embodiments.

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner will not be required to make an additional search in considering the inventions described in the restricted claims (14 and 27-31) and thus all claims 1-31 should be prosecuted on the merits. The primary distinguishing characteristic between Group I (Figs. 1-6) and Group II (Figs. 7-10) is that the diffusion layer 18 of Group II has a front defining at least one character or symbol in raised relief with respect to a substantially planar portion of the front face. Claim 14 of Group III is distinguished from the other claims in that a longitudinal axis of each cavity containing a light emitting member (in-whole or in-part) is oriented substantially parallel to the back face of the light diffusion layer. MPEP § 803, in relevant part, states that:

[i]f the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

To conserve the time of both the Office and the Applicants herein, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the Restriction Requirement.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would be beneficial to advance prosecution of the application to early issue, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul D. Bangor, Jr.  
Reg. No. 34,768

Dated: October 12, 2004

REED SMITH LLP  
P.O. Box 488  
Pittsburgh, PA 15230  
(412) 288-4296

Attorneys for Applicants